Extended symmetrical classical electrodynamics

A. V. Fedorov^{*} and E. G. Kalashnikov

Ulyanovsk State University, 42, Leo Tolstoy Street, Ulyanovsk, 432970, Russia (Received 31 October 2007; published 24 March 2008)

In this paper, we discuss a modification of classical electrodynamics in which "ordinary" point charges are absent. The modified equations contain additional terms describing the induced charges and currents. The densities of the induced charges and currents depend on the vector \mathbf{k} and the vectors of the electromagnetic field, \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} . It is shown that the vectors \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} can be defined in terms of two four-potentials and the components of \mathbf{k} are the components of a four-tensor of the third rank. The Lagrangian of the modified electrodynamics is defined. The conditions are derived at which only one four-potential determines the behavior of the electromagnetic field. It is also shown that static modified electrodynamics can describe the electromagnetic field in the inner region of an electric monopole. In the outer region of the electric monopole the electric field is governed by the Maxwell equations. It follows from boundary conditions at the interface between the inner and outer regions of the monopole that the vector \mathbf{k} has a discrete spectrum. The electric and magnetic fields, energy, and angular momentum of the monopole are found for different eigenvalues of \mathbf{k} .

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.77.036610

PACS number(s): 03.50.Kk, 11.10.-z

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, there has been a growing interest in the study of classical Maxwell-Chern-Simons (MCS) electrodynamics. The fundamental equations of MCS electrodynamics are

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = \mathbf{0},\tag{1}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{0},\tag{2}$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \mathbf{j}_o - m\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{E}, \qquad (3)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{E} = 4\,\pi\rho_o + \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{B},\tag{4}$$

where ρ_o and \mathbf{j}_o are the "ordinary" charge and current densities, respectively, and ordinary charges are considered as point particles. The quantities *m* and **k** have dimensions of inverse length and can be considered either as the components of the four-gradient of the dynamic pseudoscalar (axion) field [1–7] or as the components of a constant fourpseudovector [8–12]. With *m*=0 the set of equations (1)–(4) was obtained in noncommutative electrodynamics [13].

Equations (3) and (4) can be written in the following form:

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \mathbf{j}_o + \frac{4\pi}{c} \mathbf{j}_i, \tag{5}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{E} = 4\pi\rho_o + 4\pi\rho_i, \tag{6}$$

where

$$\mathbf{j}_i = -\frac{c}{4\pi} (m\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{E}), \tag{7}$$

$$\rho_i = \frac{1}{4\pi} \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{B}.$$
 (8)

As distinct from the Maxwell equations, Eqs. (5) and (6) contain the additional quantities \mathbf{j}_i and ρ_i which can be interpreted as follows [1]. The quantity \mathbf{j}_i is the current density induced by the magnetic and electric fields and the quantity ρ_i is the charge density induced by the magnetic field. From (7) and (8) it follows that the induced current and charge densities are the functions of the vectors of the electromagnetic field. In such a manner, the electric charge can be considered as a secondary property of the electromagnetic field. It is necessary to note that the induced current is not connected with mechanical motion of any point charges. The first term on the right-hand side of (7) has arisen in magnetohydrodynamics [8] and the second term has appeared in the description of the Hall effect [14].

In the absence of ordinary sources, the Maxwell equations can be considered as two sets of equations when one is transformed into the other if the replacement $\mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$, $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow -\mathbf{E}$ takes place. The equations of MCS electrodynamics do not have such symmetry. Using Eqs. (1)–(4), we shall try to formulate equations of electrodynamics which will have such symmetry. First we assume that the equation for the curl of **B** has the same form as Eq. (3) but the quantities ρ_o and \mathbf{j}_o are equal to zero. Thus Eq. (3) can be written in the form

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} = -m\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{E}.$$
 (9)

Then we carry out the replacement $\mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$, $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow -\mathbf{E}$ in Eq. (9) and get the equation for the curl of \mathbf{E} :

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = -m\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{B}.$$
 (10)

Suppose that the quantities m and \mathbf{k} are constant in time and space. Computing the curls of Eqs. (9) and (10), we find the equations

^{*}anatoly.fedorov@gmail.com

$$\Box \mathbf{B} + \frac{2}{c} \mathbf{k} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} + (m^2 + k^2) \mathbf{B} = \frac{2m}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} - 2m \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{E} + \nabla f_1 + \mathbf{k} f_2, \qquad (11)$$

$$\Box \mathbf{E} + \frac{2}{c} \mathbf{k} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} + (m^2 + k^2) \mathbf{E} = 2m \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{B} - \frac{2m}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} + \nabla f_2 - \mathbf{k} f_1, \qquad (12)$$

where \Box is the d'Alembertian

$$\Box = \nabla^2 - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}$$
(13)

and

$$f_1 = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{E}, \tag{14}$$

$$f_2 = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{B}. \tag{15}$$

Suppose that f_1 and f_2 are equal to zero. In this case the divergences **B** and **E** can be written as

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{E},\tag{16}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = -\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{B}. \tag{17}$$

The set of equations (9), (10), (16), and (17) can be considered as the basic set of equations of modified electrodynamics. As distinct from MCS electrodynamics Eqs. (10) and (16) contain additional terms describing the induced magnetic charges and currents.

II. THE FUNDAMENTAL EQUATIONS OF EXTENDED SYMMETRICAL CLASSICAL ELECTRODYNAMICS

Let us take the divergence of both sides of (9) and (10):

$$\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\mathbf{E}-\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{B})-m(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\mathbf{B}+\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{E})=0, \qquad (18)$$

$$\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\mathbf{B}+\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{E})+m(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\mathbf{E}-\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{B})=0.$$
(19)

Equations (16) and (17) can be written in the form

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{B} = 4\,\pi\rho_m,\tag{20}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{E} = 4\,\pi\rho_e,\tag{21}$$

where ρ_m is the density of the induced magnetic charge,

$$\rho_m = \frac{1}{4\pi} \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{E}, \qquad (22)$$

and ρ_e is the density of the induced electric charge,

$$\rho_e = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{B}.$$
 (23)

Substituting (20)–(23) into (18) and (19), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \rho_e}{\partial t} - mc\rho_m = 0, \qquad (24)$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho_m}{\partial t} + mc\rho_e = 0.$$
⁽²⁵⁾

From (24) and (25) it follows that oscillations of the densities of the induced charges must occur if $m \neq 0$. The frequency ω of such oscillations can be written as $\omega = |m|c$. The oscillations of ρ_e and ρ_m have the same magnitude but differ in phase by 90°. Consequently, an electric charge transforms into a magnetic charge and vice versa. In this case the law of charge conservation is broken and therefore we let m=0. With m=0 Eqs. (24) and (25) become

$$\frac{\partial \rho_e}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \mathbf{k} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = 0, \qquad (26)$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho_m}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \mathbf{k} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} = 0.$$
 (27)

The conditions (26) and (27) mean that either the electric and magnetic fields do not depend on time or the time-varying **B** and **E** are both perpendicular to the direction of \mathbf{k} .

If m=0 and $\mathbf{k} \neq \mathbf{0}$, the set of equations (9), (10), (16), and (17) can be written

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} = -\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{E}, \qquad (28)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = -\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{B},\tag{29}$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{B}, \qquad (30)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{E}. \tag{31}$$

We call equations (28)–(31) the fundamental equations of extended symmetrical classical (ESC) electrodynamics. Equations (28)–(31) were postulated by the authors in [15]. Computing the curls of Eqs. (28) and (30) and using (29) and (31), we find

$$\Box \mathbf{B} + \frac{2}{c} \mathbf{k} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} + k^2 \mathbf{B} = 0, \qquad (32)$$

$$\Box \mathbf{E} + \frac{2}{c} \mathbf{k} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} + k^2 \mathbf{E} = 0.$$
 (33)

The set of equations (28)–(31) or (32) and (33) describes the behavior of the electromagnetic field in the space region where the vector **k** is not zero. In general, the induced charge and current are distributed over this region and we therefore call this region the induced charge and current (ICC) domain. Outside the ICC domain, the behavior of the electromagnetic field is governed by the Maxwell equations.

III. THE POTENTIALS IN ESC ELECTRODYNAMICS

In ESC electrodynamics we can define E and B in terms of potentials:

$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla \phi' - \nabla \times \mathbf{A}'' - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}'}{\partial t} + \mathbf{k} \phi'' + \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{A}', \quad (34)$$

036610-2

$$\mathbf{B} = -\nabla \phi'' + \nabla \times \mathbf{A}' - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}''}{\partial t} - \mathbf{k} \phi' + \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{A}'', \quad (35)$$

where ϕ' and ϕ'' are scalar potentials, and \mathbf{A}' and \mathbf{A}'' are vector potentials. Lorentz covariance requires that the potentials ϕ' , \mathbf{A}' , ϕ'' , and \mathbf{A}'' form two four-vector potentials

$$A^{\prime \mu} = (\phi^{\prime}, \mathbf{A}^{\prime}), \tag{36}$$

$$A^{\prime\prime\mu} = (\phi^{\prime\prime}, \mathbf{A}^{\prime\prime}), \tag{37}$$

where we use greek indices μ , ν ,... to run from 0 to 3. Since **E** is a polar vector and **B** is an axial vector, the components of **E** and **B** can be interpreted as the components of the antisymmetric second-rank tensor [16]

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_x & E_y & E_z \\ 0 & -B_z & B_y \\ & 0 & -B_x \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (38)

We can write the components of $F_{\mu\nu}$ as

$$F_{\mu\nu} = F'_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F''^{\rho\sigma}, \qquad (39)$$

where

$$F'_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A'_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A'_{\mu} + K_{\mu\nu\alpha}A^{\prime\,\alpha}, \qquad (40)$$

$$F''^{\rho\sigma} = \partial^{\rho}A''^{\sigma} - \partial^{\sigma}A''^{\rho} + K^{\rho\sigma\alpha}A''_{\alpha}, \qquad (41)$$

and $\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ is the totally antisymmetric fourth-rank tensor [16]. The tensor $K_{\mu\nu\alpha}$ is a four-tensor of the third rank and its nonvanishing components in the rest frame of the ICC domain are

$$k_{032} = k_{203} = k_{230} = -k_{302} = -k_{023} = -k_{320} = k_x, \quad (42)$$

$$k_{013} = k_{301} = k_{310} = -k_{103} = -k_{031} = -k_{130} = k_y, \quad (43)$$

$$k_{021} = k_{102} = k_{120} = -k_{201} = -k_{012} = -k_{210} = k_z, \quad (44)$$

where the quantities k_x , k_y , and k_z are the components of the vector **k**. The tensor $K_{\mu\nu\alpha}$ is antisymmetric in indices μ and ν . It can be shown that

$$K_{\mu\nu\alpha}K^{\mu\nu\alpha} = 6(k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z^2) = 6k^2.$$
(45)

Thus k^2 is a Lorentz invariant. With k=0 the tensor (39) is the Cabibbo-Ferrari tensor [17]

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A'_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A'_{\mu} - \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\partial^{\rho}A''^{\sigma}.$$
 (46)

Let us write Eqs. (28) and (30) as

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \mathbf{j}_e, \tag{47}$$

$$\mathbf{\nabla} \times \mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = -\frac{4\pi}{c} \mathbf{j}_m,\tag{48}$$

where \mathbf{j}_e is the density of the induced electric current,

PHYSICAL REVIEW E 77, 036610 (2008)

$$\mathbf{j}_e = -\frac{c}{4\pi} \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{E},\tag{49}$$

and \mathbf{j}_m is the density of the induced magnetic current,

$$\mathbf{j}_m = -\frac{c}{4\pi} \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{B}.$$
 (50)

Then we introduce a four-vector j_{e}^{α} as

$$j_e^{\alpha} = \frac{c}{8\pi} K^{\mu\nu\alpha} F_{\mu\nu}.$$
 (51)

If the expressions (38) and (42)–(44) are substituted into (51), the following expression can be obtained:

$$j_e^{\alpha} = (c\rho_e, \mathbf{j}_e), \tag{52}$$

where ρ_e and \mathbf{j}_e are defined by the expressions (23) and (49), respectively. Thus the induced electric charge and current densities are the components of a four-vector. We now introduce a four-vector j_m^{α} as

$$j_m^{\alpha} = \frac{c}{8\pi} K^{\mu\nu\alpha} F^*_{\mu\nu}, \qquad (53)$$

where $F_{\mu\nu}^*$ is the dual electromagnetic tensor

$$F^*_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F^{\rho\sigma}.$$
 (54)

The expression (53) can be written as

$$j_m^{\alpha} = (c\rho_m, \mathbf{j}_m), \tag{55}$$

where ρ_m and \mathbf{j}_m are defined by the expressions (23) and (50), respectively. Thus the induced magnetic charge and current densities are also the components of a four-vector.

The Lagrangian of ESC electrodynamics can be taken in the form

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{16\pi} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{8\pi} (E^2 - B^2).$$
 (56)

Substituting (34) and (35) into (56) and using the principle of least action [16], we obtain the basic equations (28)–(31) of ESC electrodynamics.

IV. THE EQUATIONS FOR THE POTENTIALS

Substituting (34) and (35) into (28) and (30), we obtain

$$\Box \mathbf{A}' + \frac{2}{c} \mathbf{k} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}'}{\partial t} + k^2 \mathbf{A}' = \nabla \psi_1 + \mathbf{k} \psi_2, \qquad (57)$$

$$\Box \mathbf{A}'' + \frac{2}{c} \mathbf{k} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}''}{\partial t} + k^2 \mathbf{A}'' = \nabla \psi_3 - \mathbf{k} \psi_4, \qquad (58)$$

$$\nabla^2 \phi' + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}' + \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{A}'') + k^2 \phi' = 0, \qquad (59)$$

$$\nabla^2 \phi'' + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}'' - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{A}') + k^2 \phi'' = 0, \qquad (60)$$

where

$$\psi_1 = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{A}' + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \phi'}{\partial t} - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{A}'', \tag{61}$$

$$\psi_2 = \mathbf{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{A}'' - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \phi''}{\partial t} + \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{A}', \qquad (62)$$

$$\psi_3 = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{A}'' + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \phi''}{\partial t} + \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{A}', \qquad (63)$$

$$\psi_4 = \mathbf{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{A}' - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \phi'}{\partial t} - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{A}''. \tag{64}$$

In order to determine the divergence of \mathbf{A}' and \mathbf{A}'' , we can use the expressions (61) and (63). We assume that $\psi_1=0$ and $\psi_3=0$. In this case we have

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}' + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \phi'}{\partial t} = \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{A}'', \tag{65}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{A}'' + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \phi''}{\partial t} = -\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{A}' \,. \tag{66}$$

Substituting (65) and (66) into (57)–(60), we obtain:

$$\Box \mathbf{A}' + \frac{2}{c} \mathbf{k} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}'}{\partial t} + k^2 \mathbf{A}' = -\frac{2}{c} \mathbf{k} \frac{\partial \phi''}{\partial t}, \qquad (67)$$

$$\Box \mathbf{A}'' + \frac{2}{c} \mathbf{k} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}''}{\partial t} + k^2 \mathbf{A}'' = \frac{2}{c} \mathbf{k} \frac{\partial \phi'}{\partial t}.$$
 (68)

$$\Box \phi' + k^2 \phi' = -\frac{2}{c} \mathbf{k} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}''}{\partial t}, \tag{69}$$

$$\Box \phi'' + k^2 \phi'' = \frac{2}{c} \mathbf{k} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}'}{\partial t}.$$
 (70)

The set of equations (65)-(70) is equivalent to the set of equations (28)-(31).

Let us examine the case in which the electromagnetic field is defined in terms of only one four-potential. Let $A'^{\mu} \neq 0$ and $A''^{\mu}=0$. From Eqs. (65)–(70) it follows that the following conditions must be satisfied:

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{A}' + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \phi'}{\partial t} = 0, \qquad (71)$$

$$\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{0},\tag{72}$$

$$\frac{\partial \phi'}{\partial t} = 0. \tag{73}$$

Substituting (73) into (71), we find

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{0}. \tag{74}$$

Thus the vector potential \mathbf{A}' satisfies the Coulomb gauge condition. The scalar potential ϕ' of the time-varying electromagnetic field vanishes. Consequently, the time-varying

electromagnetic field is governed by the equation

$$\Box \mathbf{A}' + \frac{2}{c} \mathbf{k} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}'}{\partial t} + k^2 \mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{0}.$$
 (75)

From (34) and (35) it follows that the vectors of the timevarying electromagnetic field are

$$\mathbf{E} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}'}{\partial t} + \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{A}', \qquad (76)$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \mathbf{A}' \,. \tag{77}$$

In the static case the electromagnetic field is determined by the scalar potential ϕ' and the vector-potential **A**', which are the solutions of the equations:

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{A}' + k^2 \mathbf{A}' = 0, \tag{78}$$

$$\nabla^2 \phi' + k^2 \phi' = 0, \tag{79}$$

The vectors of the static field can be obtained from (34) and (35), which are in this case

$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla \phi' + \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{A}', \tag{80}$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \mathbf{A}' - \mathbf{k}\boldsymbol{\phi}'. \tag{81}$$

An equation similar to (79) is used to calculate the "selfinduced" electrostatic field in [18]. We can find similar expressions for the four-potential A''^{μ} if $A''^{\mu} \neq 0$ and $A'^{\mu}=0$.

V. THE STATIC ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELD IN ESC ELECTRODYNAMICS AND THE ELECTRIC MONOPOLE

In the static case the relations (26) and (27) are satisfied automatically and the set of basic equations of ESC electrodynamics becomes

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = -\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{E},\tag{82}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{E} = -\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{B},\tag{83}$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{B},\tag{84}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{E}. \tag{85}$$

Combining the curls of Eqs. (82) and (84), we obtain the equations

$$\Delta \mathbf{B} + k^2 \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{0},\tag{86}$$

$$\Delta \mathbf{E} + k^2 \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{0}.$$
 (87)

If we find the magnetic field from (86) then the electric field can be calculated from (82)-(85):

$$\mathbf{E} = \frac{1}{k^2} [\mathbf{k} \times (\mathbf{\nabla} \times \mathbf{B}) + \mathbf{k} (\mathbf{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{B})].$$
(88)

We call such a state of the electromagnetic field a state of the magnetic type. We can similarly find the electric field from

(87) and then the magnetic field can be calculated from (82)-(85):

$$\mathbf{B} = -\frac{1}{k^2} \{ \mathbf{k} \times (\mathbf{\nabla} \times \mathbf{E}) + \mathbf{k} (\mathbf{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{E}) \}.$$
 (89)

We call the electromagnetic field described by (87) and (89) a state of the electric type.

A. The electromagnetic field of the electric monopole

Let us now show that the set (82)–(85) has a solution that describes the electromagnetic field of the electric monopole. Such a state of the electromagnetic field is a state of the electric type and it is defined by Eqs. (87) and (89). Then we assume that the ICC domain is a sphere of radius *R* which is at rest with respect to an inertial frame of reference. In this case it is convenient to use spherical coordinates (r, θ, α) , where θ is the angle between **k** and **r**. We denote the unit vectors of the spherical coordinate system by \mathbf{e}_r , \mathbf{e}_{θ} , and \mathbf{e}_{α} .

The electric field of the electric monopole has spherical symmetry. In the ICC domain of the monopole (r < R) such a solution of Eq. (87) can be written as

$$\mathbf{E} = \frac{C}{\sqrt{kr}} J_{3/2}(kr) \mathbf{e}_r, \tag{90}$$

where *C* is a constant, and $J_{3/2}(kr)$ is the Bessel function. The static Maxwell equations are used to find the electromagnetic field outside the ICC domain. In the outer region of the monopole (r > R) the electric field \mathbf{E}^e is the solution of the Laplace equation

$$\mathbf{E}^e = \frac{q}{r^2} \mathbf{e}_r,\tag{91}$$

where q is the electric charge of the monopole. Substituting (90) in (89), we find that the magnetic field in the inner region (r < R) of the monopole is given by

$$\mathbf{B} = -\frac{C}{\sqrt{kr}} J_{1/2}(kr) \mathbf{e}_k, \tag{92}$$

where \mathbf{e}_k is a unit vector parallel to \mathbf{k} . The magnetic field components are

$$B_r = -\frac{C}{\sqrt{kr}} J_{1/2}(kr) \cos \theta, \qquad (93)$$

$$B_{\theta} = \frac{C}{\sqrt{kr}} J_{1/2}(kr) \sin \theta.$$
(94)

From (92)–(94) it is seen that the magnetic field in the ICC domain is a dipole field. In the outer region (r > R) the magnetic dipole field is a solution of the Laplace equation, and its components are

$$B_r^e = \frac{2\mu}{r^3} \cos \theta, \tag{95}$$

$$B^e_{\theta} = \frac{\mu}{r^3} \sin \theta, \qquad (96)$$

where μ is the magnetic moment of the monopole. Let us assume that the magnetic field must be continuous at the interface between the inner and outer regions of the monopole. In our case this condition is satisfied if the magnetic field vanishes at $r \ge R$. From (93) and (94) it follows that the boundary condition for the magnetic field can be satisfied only if

$$\sin(kR) = 0. \tag{97}$$

This means that k has the eigenvalues

$$k_n^+ = n \frac{\pi}{R},\tag{98}$$

$$k_n^- = -n\frac{\pi}{R},\tag{99}$$

$$n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$$
 (100)

Consequently, the eigenvalues of \mathbf{k} are

$$\mathbf{k}_n^+ = n \frac{\pi}{R} \mathbf{e}_k,\tag{101}$$

$$\mathbf{k}_{n}^{-} = -n\frac{\pi}{R}\mathbf{e}_{k}.$$
 (102)

Thus the two eigenvalues k_n^+ and k_n^- correspond to the given value of *n*. The solution corresponding to k_n^+ we call the positive component of the *n*th mode and the solution corresponding to k_n^- we call the negative component of the *n*th mode. The electric and magnetic vectors of the positive and negative components of the *n*th mode can be written as

$$\mathbf{E}_{n}^{\pm} = \pm \frac{C_{n}^{\pm}}{\sqrt{k_{n}^{+}r}} J_{3/2}(k_{n}^{+}r)\mathbf{e}_{r}, \qquad (103)$$

$$\mathbf{B}_{n}^{\pm} = -\frac{C_{n}^{\pm}}{\sqrt{k_{n}^{+}r}} J_{1/2}(k_{n}^{+}r)\mathbf{e}_{k}.$$
 (104)

In accordance with the superposition principle, the electric and magnetic fields of the *n*th mode are given by

$$\mathbf{E}_n = \mathbf{E}_n^+ + \mathbf{E}_n^- = \frac{Q_n}{R^2 \sqrt{k_n^+ r}} J_{3/2}(k_n^+ r) \mathbf{e}_r, \qquad (105)$$

$$\mathbf{B}_{n} = \mathbf{B}_{n}^{+} + \mathbf{B}_{n}^{-} = -\frac{G_{n}}{R^{2}\sqrt{k_{n}^{+}r}}J_{1/2}(k_{n}^{+}r)\mathbf{e}_{k}, \qquad (106)$$

where the constants

$$Q_n = (C_n^+ - C_n^-)R^2, (107)$$

$$G_n = (C_n^+ + C_n^-)R^2 \tag{108}$$

have dimensions of electric or magnetic charge, respectively. In the outer region of the monopole (r > R) the electric field of the *n*th mode is

$$\mathbf{E}_{n}^{e} = \frac{q_{n}}{r^{2}} \mathbf{e}_{r}, \tag{109}$$

where q_n is the electric charge of the *n*th mode of the monopole. With r=R the electric field is continuous and, therefore, from (105) and (109) it follows that

$$Q_n = -\pi \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} n (-1)^n q_n.$$
 (110)

Thus the electric field of the monopole has only a radial component. The dipole magnetic field is nonzero in the ICC domain of the monopole.

In accordance with the superposition principle, the vector \mathbf{k} is an operator that acts on the vectors \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} as follows:

$$\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{F} = \sum_{n} \left(\mathbf{k}_{n}^{+} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{n}^{+} + \mathbf{k}_{n}^{-} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{n}^{-} \right) = \sum_{n} \mathbf{k}_{n}^{+} \cdot \left(\mathbf{F}_{n}^{+} - \mathbf{F}_{n}^{-} \right) = \sum_{n} \mathbf{k}_{n}^{+} \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{F}}_{n},$$
(111)

$$\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{F} = \sum_{n} (\mathbf{k}_{n}^{+} \times \mathbf{F}_{n}^{+} + \mathbf{k}_{n}^{-} \times \mathbf{F}_{n}^{-})$$
$$= \sum_{n} \mathbf{k}_{n}^{+} \times (\mathbf{F}_{n}^{+} - \mathbf{F}_{n}^{-}) = \sum_{n} \mathbf{k}_{n}^{+} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{F}}_{n}, \qquad (112)$$

where \mathbf{F} is the vector \mathbf{E} or \mathbf{B} and

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{F}}_n = \mathbf{F}_n^+ - \mathbf{F}_n^-. \tag{113}$$

Substituting the expressions (103) and (104) in (113), we obtain for the electric monopole

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}_n = \mathbf{E}_n^+ - \mathbf{E}_n^- = \frac{G_n}{R^2 \sqrt{k_n^+ r}} J_{3/2}(k_n^+ r) \mathbf{e}_r, \qquad (114)$$

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_n = \mathbf{B}_n^+ - \mathbf{B}_n^- = -\frac{Q_n}{R^2 \sqrt{k_n^+ r}} J_{1/2}(k_n^+ r) \mathbf{e}_r.$$
 (115)

With k=0 the equations of ESC electrodynamics become the Maxwell equations. Hence the operator k does not act on the vectors of Maxwell electrodynamics,

$$\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{F}_M = \mathbf{0}, \tag{116}$$

$$\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{F}_M = \mathbf{0}, \tag{117}$$

where \mathbf{F}_M is the vector of the Maxwell field. Thus the densities of induced charges and currents of the electric monopole do not depend on the Maxwell field.

B. The energy and angular moment of the electric monopole

It can be shown that, similarly to Maxwell electrodynamics, the Poynting theorem of ESC electrodynamics can be written as follows:

$$\frac{1}{8\pi}\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\mathbf{S} = -\mathbf{E}\cdot\mathbf{j}_e - \mathbf{B}\cdot\mathbf{j}_m, \qquad (118)$$

where w is the electromagnetic energy density,

$$w = \frac{1}{8\pi} (\mathbf{E}^2 + \mathbf{B}^2), \qquad (119)$$

and S is the Poynting vector,

$$\mathbf{S} = \frac{c}{4\pi} \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}.$$
 (120)

Since the electromagnetic field of the monopole is static, the Poynting theorem can be written as

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{S} = -\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{j}_e - \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{j}_m. \tag{121}$$

Substituting (105) and (106) in (120), we obtain

$$\mathbf{S} = \sum_{n,p} \frac{cQ_n G_p}{4\pi R^4 r \sqrt{k_n^* k_p^*}} J_{3/2}(k_n^* r) J_{1/2}(k_p^* r) \sin \theta \mathbf{e}_{\alpha}.$$
 (122)

Since the value of the Poynting vector is independent of the angle α , the left-hand side of (121) vanishes. It is seen from (49) that the vector \mathbf{j}_e is perpendicular to the vector \mathbf{E} ; hence the first term on the right-hand side of (121) is zero. The vector \mathbf{B} is collinear to \mathbf{k} ; hence $\mathbf{j}_m = \mathbf{0}$ and the second term on the right-hand side of (121) vanishes. We see that the Poynting theorem is valid in the inner region of the monopole. In the outer region of the monopole, Poynting's theorem is also valid as \mathbf{S} , \mathbf{j}_e , and \mathbf{j}_m are zero in this case.

From (119) we have that the electric energy density can be written in the form

$$w_e = \frac{\mathbf{E}^2}{8\pi} \tag{123}$$

and the electric energy of the monopole is

$$W_e = \frac{1}{8\pi} \sum_{n,p} \int_V \mathbf{E}_n \cdot \mathbf{E}_p dV.$$
(124)

Substituting (105) in (124) and integrating over the volume V^{in} of the ICC domain, we find that the electric energy of the inner region of the monopole is

$$W_e^{in} = \sum_n (W_e^{in})_n, \qquad (125)$$

where $(W_e^{in})_n$ is the electric energy of the *n*th mode of the inner region of the monopole:

$$(W_e^{in})_n = \frac{Q_n^2}{2\pi^3 n^2 R} = \frac{q_n^2}{4R}.$$
 (126)

Substituting (109) in (124) and integrating over the volume V^e of the outer region of the monopole, we find that the electric energy of the external region of the monopole is

$$W_e^e = \sum_{n,p} \frac{q_n q_p}{2R} = \sum_{n,p} \frac{(-1)^{n+p} Q_n Q_p}{\pi^3 n p R}.$$
 (127)

Thus the electric energy of the nth mode of the outer region of the monopole is

$$(W_e^e)_n = \frac{q_n^2}{2R} = \frac{Q_n^2}{\pi^3 n^2 R}.$$
 (128)

Summing (126) and (128), we find that the total electric energy of the *n*th mode of the monopole is

$$(W_e)_n = \frac{3Q_n^2}{2\pi^3 n^2 R} = \frac{3q_n^2}{4R}.$$
 (129)

The expressions (127) also contain the interaction energy between the *n*th and *p*th modes, which can be written in the form

$$(W_e^e)_{np} = \frac{q_n q_p}{R} = \frac{2(-1)^{n+p} Q_n Q_p}{\pi^3 n p R}.$$
 (130)

From (119) we have that the magnetic energy density is

$$w_m = \frac{\mathbf{B}^2}{8\pi},\tag{131}$$

and the magnetic energy of the monopole is

$$W_m = \frac{1}{8\pi} \sum_{n,p} \int_V \mathbf{B}_n \cdot \mathbf{B}_p dV.$$
(132)

Substituting (106) in (132) and integrating over the volume of the ICC domain, we find that the magnetic energy of the inner region of the monopole is

$$W_m^{in} = \sum_n (W_m^{in})_n, \qquad (133)$$

where $(W_m^{in})_n$ is the magnetic energy of the *n*th mode of the inner region of the monopole,

$$(W_m^{in})_n = \frac{G_n^2}{2\pi^3 n^2 R}.$$
 (134)

The magnetic field and the magnetic energy are zero in the outer region of the monopole.

Summing (129) and (134) we find that the total electromagnetic energy of the *n*th mode of the monopole is

$$W_n = \frac{3Q_n^2 + G_n^2}{2\pi^3 n^2 R} = \frac{3q_n^2}{4R} + \frac{G_n^2}{2\pi^3 n^2 R}.$$
 (135)

In ESC electrodynamics, the electromagnetic momentum density can be written in the standard form

$$\mathbf{p} = \frac{1}{4\pi c} \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}.$$
 (136)

Hence the angular momentum of the monopole is

$$\mathbf{J} = \frac{1}{4\pi c} \sum_{n,p} \int_{V} [\mathbf{r} \times (\mathbf{E}_{n} \times \mathbf{B}_{p})] dV.$$
(137)

Substituting (105) and (106) in (137) and integrating over the volume of the ICC domain, we find that the angular momentum of the *n*th mode is

$$\mathbf{J}_n = \frac{Q_n G_n}{\pi^4 c n^3} \mathbf{e}_k.$$
 (138)

There are components in (137) that contain the electric field of the *n*th mode and the magnetic field of the *p*th mode, and vice versa. We call these components the cross angular momenta of the *n*th and *p*th modes. In our case they can be written in the form

$$\mathbf{J}_{np} = \frac{4(nQ_pG_n - pQ_nG_p)(-1)^{n+p}}{3\pi^4 cnp(n^2 - p^2)} \mathbf{e}_k.$$
 (139)

Now consider a particular case. If the constants Q_n and G_n satisfy the conditions

$$Q_n = -(-1)^n n Q, (140)$$

$$G_n = -(-1)^n n^2 G,$$
 (141)

then the angular momenta (138) and (139) become

$$\mathbf{J}_n = \frac{QG}{\pi^4 c} \mathbf{e}_k,\tag{142}$$

$$\mathbf{J}_{np} = \frac{4QG}{3\pi^4 c} \mathbf{e}_k.$$
 (143)

Substituting (140) in (110), we have

$$q_n = q = \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} Q. \tag{144}$$

Suppose that there is only one mode of the electromagnetic field in the ICC domain. It follows from the expressions (142) and (144) that the angular momentum and charge of the monopole do not depend on the state number n. From (142) we see that the projection of the angular momentum of the *n*th state on the direction of **k** is

$$J = \frac{QG}{\pi^4 c}.$$
 (145)

Then from (144) and (145) we have that the expression (135) can be written in the form

$$W_n = \frac{3q^2}{4R} + \frac{(\pi cnJ)^2}{q^2R}.$$
 (146)

If J is constant then the energy minimum of the *n*th state is reached at a certain magnitude of the electric charge. For example the energy of the first state of the monopole is minimum if the electric charge is

$$q' = \pm \sqrt{\frac{2\pi c|J|}{\sqrt{3}}}.$$
 (147)

In our case the electric charge of any state is equal to the electric charge of the first state and therefore the energy of any state of the monopole is

$$W_n = \frac{\sqrt{3\pi c|J|}}{2R}(1+n^2).$$
 (148)

With q=q' and n=1 the electric energy of the monopole is equal to its magnetic energy. The electric monopole energy does not depend on the state number *n*. Thus we showed that the set of equations (82)–(85) has particular solutions that describe electric monopoles. From equations (86) and (88) we can obtain the solution that describes magnetic monopoles. In general, the ICC domain can have any multipole moment.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In the present paper, the governing equations of ESC electrodynamics are formulated, in which ordinary point charges are absent. The equations of ESC electrodynamics contain additional terms describing the induced charges and currents. The densities of the induced charges and currents depend on the vector \mathbf{k} and the vectors of the electromagnetic field, \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} .

In ESC electrodynamics the vectors \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} can be defined in terms of two four-potentials. It is shown that the components of \mathbf{k} are the components of the four-tensor of the third rank. The Lagrangian is found for ESC electrodynamics. We obtain the conditions for which there is only one four-potential describing the behavior of the electromagnetic field.

It is shown that static ESC electrodynamics can describe the electromagnetic field in the inner region of the electric monopole. There are both electric and magnetic fields in the inner region. In the outer region of the electric monopole the electric field is governed by the Maxwell equations. From the boundary conditions at the interface between the inner and outer regions, it follows that the vector \mathbf{k} has a discrete spectrum. The electric and magnetic fields, energy, and angular momentum are found for different values of \mathbf{k} . The structure of the electromagnetic field in the inner region of the monopole is changed in a discrete way when a transition occurs between different states.

There is a particular case in which different states of the monopole have the same angular momenta and charges. Such properties of the electric monopole are similar to properties of elementary particles.

- [1] P. Sikivie, Phys. Lett. **137B**, 353 (1984).
- [2] M. C. Huang and P. Sikivie, Phys. Rev. D 32, 1560 (1985).
- [3] F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 1799 (1987).
- [4] M. Gasperini, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 396 (1987).
- [5] L. Jacobs, Physica B 152, 288 (1998).
- [6] S. M. Carroll and G. B. Field, e-print arXiv:astro-ph/9807159.
- [7] E. M. Masso and J. Redondo, e-print arXiv:hep-ph/0606164.
- [8] R. Jackiw, Comments Mod. Phys. A1, 1 (1999).
- [9] S. M. Carroll, G. B. Field, and R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. D 41, 1231 (1990).
- [10] R. Lehnert and R. Potting, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 110402 (2004).
- [11] R. Lehnert and R. Potting, Phys. Rev. D 70, 125010 (2004).

- [12] A. J. Hariton and R. Lehnert, e-print arXiv:hep-th/0612167.
- [13] J. Gamboa and J. López-Sarrión, Phys. Rev. D 71, 067702 (2005).
- [14] L. D. Landau, E. M. Lifshitz, and L. P. Pitaevskii, *Electrody-namics of Continuos Media* (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1984).
- [15] A. V. Fedorov, E. G. Kalashnikov, Uch. Zapiski UIGU, Ser. Fiz. 1, 41 (1999) (in Russian).
- [16] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *The Classical Theory of Fields* (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1975).
- [17] N. Cabibbo and E. Ferrari, Nuovo Cimento, Suppl. 23, 1147 (1962).
- [18] E. Kapuścik, Found. Phys. 28, 717 (1998).